1

2

3

4 5

6

U

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

26

27

25

28

BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Licenses and Licensing Rights of:

STIPULATION AND WAIVER

CALIFORNIA AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, MERCURY CASUALTY COMPANY and MERCURY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Respondents.

File Nos. UPA 2007-00019

File No. UPA 2007-00013 File No. UPA 2007-00015 File No. UPA 2007-00020 OAH No. N2007070985

File No. UPA 2007-00020 OAH No. N2007070985 OAH No. N2007090572

RESPONDENTS CALIFORNIA AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, MERCURY CASUALTY COMPANY, and MERCURY INSURANCE COMPANY (collectively "Respondents") and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE ("Department") stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Respondents currently are, and at all times relevant were, insurers licensed to conduct various classes of insurance in California and were conducting insurance business in California; and

Whereas, on July 27, 2007, and on September 24, 2007, the Department issued Orders to Show Cause and Statements of Charges/Accusations to Respondents (hereafter "OSC" or "OSCs"), and which are incorporated herein by reference; and

Whereas, the Department maintains that the OSC allegations are true and constitute grounds for the Commissioner to impose civil penalties and/or issue to Respondents orders to

cease and desist from engaging in those methods, acts, or practices found to be unfair, deceptive and in violation of the provisions of the California Insurance Code; and

Whereas Respondents deny the OSC allegations; deny that they are in violation of the Insurance Code and regulations, and maintain that no fine or penalty is due and owing; and

Whereas, the Department and Respondents have discussed issues regarding (1)
Respondents' claims handling practices violations as set forth in the OSCs and (2) the "Trend Review" of Respondents made for the period January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2005; and

Whereas, Respondents have advised the Department that they have implemented various measures to ensure compliance with the Insurance Code and the Department's regulations; and

Whereas, the Department and Respondents believe it is in the public interest to resolve all matters arising out of the OSCs and the Trend Review without the need for formal hearings and further administrative action;

NOW, THEREFORE, with respect to the matters stated herein, the Department and Respondents agree as follows:

- A. Respondents waive the right to a hearing and any and all rights that Respondents may be entitled to pursuant to Chapter 5, Part 1, Division 3, Title 2 of the California Government Code.
- B. This Stipulation and Waiver and the terms thereof are intended and are limited to the resolution of (1) all violations alleged in the OSCs; and (2) all matters contained in, under and/or referenced in the Trend Review.

However, nothing contained in this Stipulation and Waiver shall be construed or interpreted to prevent or bar the Department from initiating enforcement action(s) against Respondents, jointly and or severally, for alleged violation(s) of the Insurance Code and/or violations of the Department's regulations issued on or after January 1, 2004, that are not included in the OSCs and the Trend Review. In addition, nothing contained herein shall be construed or interpreted to impact, in any way, any other action pending at this time against Respondents by the Department.

C. Respondents agree that the Commissioner may, pursuant to California Insurance

Code section 12921(b)(4), issue an order against Respondents, and each of them, jointly and severally, for a total amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) in costs of the Department in bringing this enforcement action and future costs of the Department to ensure compliance with the settlement

- D. Respondents agree that the Commissioner may, pursuant to California Insurance Code section 790.035, issue an order against Respondents, and each of them, jointly and severally, for a civil penalty in the total amount of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000).
- E. Respondents agree that the Commissioner may, pursuant to California Insurance Code section 790.035, issue an order against Respondents, and each of them, jointly and severally, for a civil penalty in the total amount of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000), payment of which is to be suspended and is not to be paid unless Respondents fail to meet the performance condition measure set forth in paragraph F below. In the event Respondents meet or exceed this performance condition measure, any obligation to pay the amount referenced in this paragraph E (\$200,000) shall be extinguished and nullified.
- F. The performance condition measure for determining whether the \$200,000 amount referenced in paragraph E will be paid to the Department by Respondents is as follows: The average justified complaint ratio in the three (3) years comprising the 2007 Auto Consumer Complaint Study results, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "A," compiled by the Department for calendar years 2004, 2005, and 2006, listing all justified complaints issued to each Respondent company resulting from auto claims handling requests for assistance filed with the Department's Claims Services Bureau, will establish the baseline ("Baseline") against which Respondents' performance is to be measured. The average auto complaint ratio that will serve as the Baseline is 3.2. If the data for the calendar year ending December 31, 2008, show that Respondents jointly achieve a 15% (fifteen percent) or more Baseline reduction in the justified complaint ratio, then Respondents shall not make the \$200,000 payment. Conversely, if the data for the calendar year ending December 31, 2008 show that Respondents jointly failed to achieve a Baseline reduction of at least 15% in the auto justified complaint ratio, then Respondents shall

pay the \$200,000 to the Department. Respondents do not waive their right to contest any justified complaint determination or finding made by the Department which serves as a basis for the Department's calculation of Respondents' joint justified complaint ratio for the calendar year ending December 31, 2008.

- G. All payments that are to be made pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation shall be payable to the State of California and mailed to the California Department of Insurance, Division of Accounting, 300 Capital Mall, 13th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814, within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice from the Department.
- H. Among the training and claims handling procedures employed by Respondents, Respondents circulate written materials to claims personnel advising them of the Fair Claims Settlement Practices Regulations (10 Cal. Code Regs., § 2695.1 et seq.), provide instructional presentations on the Fair Claims Settlement Regulations, and provide personal instruction on the Fair Claims Settlement Regulations.
- I. Respondents acknowledge that if they violate any of the terms or conditions of this Stipulation and Waiver or any order issued pursuant thereto, the Commissioner may bring disciplinary action against Respondents to enforce their terms in such manner as may be authorized by law.
- J. Respondents acknowledge that this Stipulation and Waiver is a public record under California Government Code § 11517(d), and that it and any orders issued pursuant thereto are open to public inspection pursuant to California Public Records Act, California Government Code § 6250 et seq. In addition, pursuant to California Insurance Code § 12968, the Stipulation and Waiver and any orders issued pursuant thereto will be posted on the Department's public web site.
- K. Respondents acknowledge that California Insurance Code § 12921 requires the Insurance Commissioner to personally approve the final settlement of this matter, and that both the settlement terms and conditions contained herein and the acceptance of those terms and conditions are contingent upon the Commissioner's personal approval.

//

1 2 3 4 5	Barger & Wolen Attorneys for Mercury Insurance Group By Hall Steven Weinstein, Esq. Approved as to form only
6 7	Dated: June 6, 2008 STEVE POIZNER
8	Insurance Commissioner
9	$\frac{\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{Q}}{1 + 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}$
10	Cindy A. Ossias Senior Staff/Counsel Approved as to form only
11	Approved as to form only
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	

CALIFORNIA CONSUMER COMPLAINT STUDY - 2007

50 LARGE AUTOMOBILE INSURERS LICENSED TO CONDUCT BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA RATIO for COMPLAINT YEARS - 2004, 2005 and 2006

Rank W		2006 Approx. Exposure		Justified Complaint Ratio		11 m	Number of Justified Complaints	
.06	CO. Name	Count	2006	2005	2004	2006	2005	2004
	CALIF. CASUALTY INDEMNITY EXCHANGE (THE)	149,688	0.7	1.9	0,0	1	3	o
2	WAWANESA GENERAL INS, CO.	291,306	l 1	0.7	0,8	2	2	2
	WAWANESA MUTUAL INS. CO. (THE)	107,497	0.9	0,0	0.9	1	o	1
	UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION	425,030		1,9	0.5	5	8	2
5	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INS. CO.	2,927,730		1,6	1,5	36	45	43
6	ALLSTATE INDEMNITY CO.	1,450,144	1.4	1,8	1,5	20	24	. 18
	INTERINS, EXCHANGE OF THE AUTOMOBILE CLUB OF SO, CALIF,	1,995,000	1,4	1.6	1,1	28	31	20
	CALIF. STATE AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION INTER-INS, BUREAU	1,775,345	1.5	2.4	0,9	27	43	17
9	USAA CASUALTY INS. CO.	384,742	11	0,8	8.0	6	3	3
10	ALLIED PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INS. CO.	114,410	1.7	5,4	3.7	2	6	4
11	VIKING INS. CO. OF WISCONSIN	217,557		4,1	2,6	4	10	7
12	PROGRESSIVE WEST INS, CO.	292,908	2,0	5.6	4,0	. 6	14	9
13	AMCO INS. CO.	145,904	2,1	1,6	1.6	3	2	. 2
14	MERCURY INS. CO.	1,546,940		2,7	2.6	34	40	36
15	ALLSTATE INS, CO.	538,247	2,2	3.1	3.0	12	18	18
16	21ST CENTURY INS. CO.	1,246,979	2.4	3.6	2,5	30	51	36
17	CALIF, AUTOMOBILE INS. CO.	165,592	2,4	3.4	2,8	4	5	4
	LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INS. CO.	285,996	11	2,7	3.7	7	7	8
19	EXPLORER INS, CO.	78,952	2.5	2,5	1.5	. 2	l i	1
20	MERCURY CASUALTY CO.	413,390	II	4.9	3.8	11	21	16
21	PROGRESSIVE CHOICE INS. CO.	254,026	2.8	3.9	5,8	7	8	9
22	KEMPER INDEPENDENCE INS. CO.	66,853	3,0	3.2	4.0	2	.2	2
23	ANCHOR GENERAL INS, CO.	62,627	3,2	3.1	2,6	2	2	1
23	PERMANENT GENERAL ASSURANCE CORPORATION	80,133	11	5.0	6.5	3	4	5
25	AMEX ASSURANCE CO.	183,867	3,8	4.8	5,9	7	8	8
26	FARMERS INS, EXCHANGE	1,630,248	4.0	4.9	4.8	65	95	95
27	OBE INS, CORPORATION	83,747	4.8	5,1	5,4	4	4	3
28	MERITPLAN INS. CO.	62,516	4.8	0,0	0,0	3	0	. 0
29	GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INS. CO.	208,070	4.8	4.0	1,5	30	8	3
30	NATIONAL GENERAL INS. CO.	204,548			2.5	10	10	5
31	GEICO GENERAL INS. CO.	365,110	à.9	4.1	2,0	18	13	. 6
32	STANDARD FIRE INS. CO. (THE)	98,009	5,1	11.8	7,6	5	11	7
33	HUDSON INS, CO.	160,421	5.6	11,0	39,8	9	1.5	30
34	HARTFORD CASUALTY INS. CO.	83,866	6,0	5.8	6.7	1 5	4	4
35	NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INS. CO.	98,804	6.1	7.4	5,2	6		6
36	COAST NATIONAL INS. CO.	277,131	6,1	9,1	9.6	17	31	39
37	HARTFORD UNDERWRITERS INS. CO.	200,991	6.5	9.0	6.3	13	17	11
38	GEICO INDEMNITY CO.	135,934	6,6	4,5	5.2	9	5	5
39	MID-CENTURY INS. CO.	392,532	2 6.6	5.8	0.0	26	1	0
40	GEICO CASUALTY CO.	43,325	6.9	13,2		∥ 3	5	J.
41	ESURANCE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INS. CO.	95,328	7.3	8,4	2.3	∥ 7	5	1
42	WESTERN UNITED INS. CO.	94,150		1		II	7	
43	FINANCIAL INDEMNITY CO.	86,099				LP .	15	17
44	UNITRIN DIRECT INS. CO.	46,188	n .	ł .		II .	4	4
45	METROPOLITAN DIRECT PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INS. CO.	103,798			·			9
46	GRANITE STATE INS. CO.	326,22		I				
47	INFINITY INS. CO.	569,17	II .	I		1		
48	SAFECO INS. CO. OF AMERICA	152,76	п	1	1	II	1	
49	LINCOLN GENERAL INS. CO.	95,39		1	1	II	1 '	32
50	AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL INS. CO. OF CALIF., INC.	72,078	8 34.7	18.1	10.	25	5 18	6

NOTE: Complaint Ratio is based on the number of Justified Complaints to 100,000 Earned Exposures.

